

**This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record**

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

**Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.**

**Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):**

- **BLACK BORDERS**
- **TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- **FADED TEXT**
- **ILLEGIBLE TEXT**
- **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- **COLORED PHOTOS**
- **BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS**
- **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.**

423 Rec'd PCT/PTO 22 NOV 1999

STEEL WIRE AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURING THE SAME

## Technical Field

The present invention relates to a steel wire used for reinforcement of rubber articles or the like having high strength and excellent ductility and a method of manufacturing the same.

## Back ground Art

In a conventional manufacturing process for a steel wire used for reinforcement of rubber articles such as steel radial tires or high pressure hoses, a high carbon steel rod containing about 0.70~0.90% in weight of carbon is drawn to an intermediate diameter and subjected to a heat treatment and brass plating to form a steel wire material, and then the steel wire material is drawn to the final diameter. When the steel wire thus obtained is used for reinforcement of a rubber article, the steel wire is embedded in non-vulcanized rubber in a form of a single wire or a steel cord formed by a plurality of the steel wire twisted together, and then heated to achieve vulcanization of the rubber and adhesion between the steel wire and the rubber.

Recently, a steel wire of higher strength is strongly desired with growing demand for conservation of energy and natural resource. In order to produce a steel wire of higher strength by the conventional manufacturing process described above, it is necessary to increase the amount of drawing performed on the steel wire material. However, when the amount of drawing is increased, ductility of the steel wire is deteriorated to cause frequent wire breakage in processing or poor durability in use. And in some cases, deterioration of ductility particularly at the surface layer of the steel wire can be a ruling factor on possible amount of drawing or achievable strength. This phenomenon is due to the fact that the drawing strain can concentrate more easily at the surface layer than can at the internal portion of the steel wire, making the surface layer become unable to withstand further drawing earlier than the internal portion. Moreover, deterioration of ductility at the surface layer can be aggravated by age hardening or poor lubrication due to heat generated by friction with drawing die. In order to overcome such problems in ductility, some improvements in drawing technique have been proposed.



Generally, in production of a steel cord for reinforcement of rubber articles, steel wires are preformed so as to have minimum radius of curvature ranging from about 10 to 150 times their diameter. Particularly, production of such steel cords listed below comprises such a severe preforming that a steel filament is preformed so as to have minimum radius of curvature ranging from about 10 to 60 times its diameter. Therefore, when a conventional steel wire is used as a filament of such steel cords, ductility is considerably deteriorated by the severe preforming and further deteriorated largely by heating in rubber.

- (1) A steel cord having so-called "open structure" comprising largely preformed steel filaments.
- (2) A steel cord comprising a steel filament preformed into a polygonal spiral shape or wavy shape.
- (3) A steel cord of core and sheath structure having a core comprising a steel filament formed into a wavy shape.

Another approach for controlling the deterioration of ductility accompanying with increase in tensile strength is to make distribution of strain in a steel wire developed by drawing more uniform so as to control deterioration of ductility in the surface layer where the strain reaches maximum. For example, JP-A 7-305285 discloses a method for manufacturing a steel wire wherein:

- (1) reduction of each die used for drawing where drawing strain  $\epsilon$  is less than 0.75 is set within a range between  $(22.67 \epsilon + 3)\%$  and 29%, wherein  $\epsilon = 2\ln(d_0/d)$ ,  $d_0$  is diameter in mm of steel wire material before drawing, and  $d$  is diameter in mm of steel wire after passing the die,
- (2) reduction of each die used for drawing where  $\epsilon$  is not less than 0.75 and not more than 2.25 is set within a range between 20% and 29%; and
- (3) reduction of each die used for drawing where  $\epsilon$  is more than 2.25 is set within a range between  $(-6.22 \epsilon + 43)\%$  and  $(-5.56 \epsilon + 32.5)\%$ .

By this method, substantial drawing strain at the surface area can be controlled, but controlling effect on age hardening due to heat generated by drawing is insufficient, and economical production becomes difficult with increasing drawing speed because of frequent wire breakage in cabling or drawing process.

In view of above problems of prior art, it is an object of the present invention to provide a steel wire having such a excellent ductility that the

1 steel wire hardly breaks in cabling and little deteriorates by preforming or  
2 age hardening after preforming. And another object is to provide a method  
3 for economically manufacturing such a steel wire.

#### 4 Disclosure of the Invention

5 After various experiments and studies, the inventors found that very  
6 important points for achievement of above objects are;

7 (1) ductility of surface layer of a steel wire should be evaluated and regulated  
8 by a specially arranged repeated torsion test, and

9 (2) optimization of reduction per die at the final die is necessary as well as  
10 uniform distribution of strain induced by drawing for economical  
11 manufacturing of such a steel wire

12 The present invention has been done based on the important points  
13 mentioned above and includes following aspects in which [1]~[4] relate to a  
14 steel wire having excellent ductility which little deteriorates by preforming  
15 or by age hardening after preforming, and [5]~[7] relate to a method of  
16 manufacturing such a steel wire economically.

17 [1] A steel wire having a diameter ranging from 0.10mm to 0.40mm obtained  
18 by subjecting a high-carbon steel wire material having a carbon content  
19 ranging from 0.70% to 0.90% in weight to heat treatment and wire drawing,  
20 characterized in;

21 that tensile strength TS (N/mm<sup>2</sup>) of the steel wire satisfies following formula

$$22 TS \geq 2250 - 1450 \log D \quad (1)$$

23 wherein D is the diameter of the steel wire in mm and log means common  
24 logarithm,

25 and that repeated torsion value RT (turns/100D) of the steel wire, which is  
26 defined as sum of forward twisting and reverse twisting given until a crack is  
27 formed on a steel wire in a test wherein a steel wire is subjected to a  
28 repetition of forward twisting equivalent to 3 turns per 100D and reverse  
29 twisting to the original state, satisfies following formula.

$$30 \log RT \geq 2 - 0.001 \{TS - (2250 - 1450 \log D)\}. \quad (2)$$

31 [2] A steel wire having above characteristics wherein tensile strength TS  
32 (N/mm<sup>2</sup>) satisfies following formula.

$$33 TS \geq 2750 - 1450 \log D \quad (3)$$

34 [3] A steel wire of less concentration of strain at the surface layer having  
35 repeated torsion value RT not less than 60% of RT of the same steel wire the

surface layer of which has been removed by the amount equivalent to 10% of total volume.

[4] A steel wire especially suitable for reinforcement of rubber articles and having above characteristics wherein breaking torsion value, which is defined as an amount of twisting to one direction subjected to a steel wire until the steel wire is broken, is not less than 20 turns per 100D when the steel wire has been given such a preforming that the steel wire has minimum radius of curvature of 10 to 60 times its diameter and embedded in rubber and taken out from the rubber after vulcanization.

[5] A method of manufacturing a steel wire having above characteristics by drawing a high-carbon steel wire material after heat treatment, characterized in that the drawing is carried out according to following conditions;

- ①reduction per die is set from  $(22.67 \epsilon + 3)\%$  to 29% for dies at which  $\epsilon$  is less than 0.75,
- ②reduction per die is set from 20% to 29% for dies at which  $\epsilon$  is not less than 0.75 and not more than 2.25,
- ③reduction per die is set from  $(-5.56 \epsilon + 32.5)\%$  to  $(-6.22 \epsilon + 43)\%$  for dies at which  $\epsilon$  is more than 2.25 except for the final die,
- ④reduction per die is set from 4% to  $(-8.3 \epsilon + 40.6)\%$  for the final die, and
- ⑤  $\epsilon$  at the final die is set from 3.0 to 4.3,

wherein  $\epsilon$  is drawing strain expressed by a formula  $\epsilon = 2\ln(d_0/d)$  (4),  $d_0$  is diameter of the steel wire material in mm before drawing,  $d$  is diameter of the steel wire in mm after passing through a die, and  $\ln$  means natural logarithm.

[6] A method of manufacturing a steel wire which enables economical manufacturing of super high tensile steel wire, wherein  $\epsilon$  at the final die is set from 3.5 to 4.2 in the method of manufacturing a steel wire described above.

[7] A method which makes above method of manufacturing a steel wire more effective, wherein a bending operation with tension is applied to the steel wire drawn through the final die.

#### Brief Description of Drawings

Fig.1 is a graph showing relationship between  $\epsilon$  and reduction per die for pass schedule A and B as well as area of reduction per die according to

the present invention.

Fig.2 is a graph showing relationship between  $\epsilon$  and reduction per die for pass schedule C, D and B as well as area of reduction per die according to the present invention.

Fig. 3 is a graph showing relationship between tensile strength and repeated torsion value for steel wires of Examples and Comparative examples as well as area of repeated torsion value according to the present invention.

Fig.4 is an illustration of a bending apparatus.

Fig.5 is an illustration of an equipment used for repeated torsion test.

### Preferred Embodiment for Implementing the Invention

Following is a detailed explanation of repeated torsion test adopted in the present invention. In this test, a steel wire with its axis kept straight is subjected to a repetition of twisting equivalent to 3 turns per length of 100 times its diameter to form a crack on the steel wire. In order to keep the axis of the steel wire straight during the test, the steel wire is stretched by small tension. The steel wire is twisted by predetermined number of turns  $N_0$ , and then returned to the original state by the same number of turns to the reverse direction. This cycle including one forward twisting and one reverse twisting is repeated to form a crack on the steel wire. Here,  $N_0$  is a number of turns equivalent to 3 turns per length of 100 times the diameter of the steel wire and expressed by  $N_0 = 3 \times (L/100D)$ , wherein  $L(\text{mm})$  is length of the steel wire subjected to the twisting and  $D(\text{mm})$  is diameter of the steel wire.

Repeated torsion value RT is sum of forward twisting and reverse twisting given until a crack is formed on the steel wire expressed by amount of turns per 100D and is calculated as follows. If a crack is formed at the time when the steel wire is turned  $N_{f1}(\leq N_0)$  times to the forward direction in the cycle next to  $n$  cycles of forward tuning of  $N_0$  times and reverse turning, the repeated torsion value RT is calculated by following formula(5a).

$$RT = (2nN_0 + N_{f1}) / (L/100D) \quad (5a)$$

If a crack is formed at the time when the steel wire is turned  $N_{f2}(\leq N_0)$  times to the reverse direction after forward turning of  $N_0$  times in the cycle next to  $n$  cycles of forward tuning of  $N_0$  times and reverse turning, the repeated torsion value RT is calculated by following formula(5b).





In order to realize such a steel wire having high repeated torsion value, it is desirable that ductility of surface layer of a steel wire is not far from that of internal part of the steel wire where decrease in ductility is less progressed by drawing. Comparison of ductility between surface layer and internal part of a steel wire can be done by comparison of repeated torsion value between a steel wire with its surface layer having been removed and the same steel wire with its surface layer not removed. It is preferable that repeated torsion value of a steel wire with its surface layer not removed is not less than 60% of that of the same wire with its surface layer having been removed.

Because a steel wire according to the present invention is little deteriorated in ductility even when it is aged by heating after severe preforming, it can be advantageously used as a filament of previously referred steel cords in which steel filaments are severely preformed to have minimum radius of curvature ranging from about 10 to 60 times the diameter. In this case, it is preferable to use a steel wire having breaking torsion value of not less than 20 turns/100D in a conventional torsion test when the steel wire has been given such a preforming that the steel wire has minimum radius of curvature of 10 to 60 times its diameter and embedded in rubber and taken out from the rubber after vulcanization. Then, ductility of the steel wire in a rubber article is certainly assured.

When a steel wire of the invention is used for reinforcement of a rubber article, a coating having adhesive property for rubber can be formed on its surface. As a means for formation of such a coating, conventional means such as drawing a steel wire material after heat treatment and brass-plating can be adopted.

Next, a method of manufacturing a steel wire according to the present invention will be explained.

In order to produce a steel wire having tensile strength TS and repeated torsion value RT both according to the present invention, it is essential to control concentration of drawing strain at the surface layer of the steel wire. In general, distribution of drawing strain becomes more uniform and the concentration of drawing strain at the surface of a steel wire is more relieved by using smaller die approach angle and/or larger reduction per die. However, in actual operations, it is necessary to set up drawing conditions considering processing accuracy of die, efficiency of lubrication, breaking

load of the steel wire, etc. That is, if die approach angle is set too small or reduction per die is set too large, drawing strain at the surface is rather increased caused by difficulty of lubrication, or frequency of wire breakage is increased thereby making it difficult to produce a steel wire with high productivity.

Therefore, in the method of manufacturing a steel wire according to the present invention, drawing is carried out on a heat-treated steel wire material according to the following conditions to produce a steel wire;

① reduction per die is set ~~from~~ <sup>from</sup>  $(22.67 \varepsilon + 3)\%$  to 29% for dies at which  $\varepsilon$  is less than 0.75,

② reduction per die is set from 20% to 29% for dies at which  $\varepsilon$  is not less than 0.75 and not more than 2.25,

③ reduction per die is set from  $(-5.56 \varepsilon + 32.5)\%$  to  $(-6.22 \varepsilon + 43)\%$  for dies at which  $\varepsilon$  is more than 2.25 except for the final die,

④ reduction per die is set from 4% to  $(-8.3 \varepsilon + 40.6)\%$  for the final die, and

⑤  $\varepsilon$  at the final die is set from 3.0 to 4.3,

wherein  $\varepsilon$  is drawing strain expressed by a formula  $\varepsilon = 2\ln(d_0/d)$ ,  $d_0$  is diameter of the steel wire material before drawing,  $d$  is diameter of the steel wire after passing through a die, and  $\ln$  means natural logarithm. In other words, though drawing conditions disclosed in JP-A 7-305285 are adopted for dies except for the final die, it is necessary to set reduction of the final die within a range which is lower than that disclosed in the same.

The reason why reduction of the final die should be set within above-mentioned range is as follows. In conventional wet drawing machines, drawing at dies except for the final die is carried out in liquid lubricant, but the steel wire having passed through the final die is not immersed in liquid lubricant. Therefore, if reduction of the final die is set according to the same condition as that of dies disposed upstream, deterioration of ductility by age hardening becomes severe because of high temperature of the steel wire having passed through the final die. This problem is aggravated by increase of drawing speed. In order to solve the problem, the inventors examined and investigated concerning the reduction of the final die and found that the deterioration of ductility by age hardening can be controlled keeping concentration of drawing strain at the surface of the steel wire within proper degree, by setting the reduction of the final die within a range of 4% to  $(-8.3 \varepsilon + 40.6)\%$ . If reduction of the final die is less than 4%, the wire may have



about 0.82% in weight of carbon was drawn by dry drawing until its diameter reached about 1.67mm. And then, patenting and brass-plating was done to obtain a brass-plated steel wire material. The brass-plated steel wire material had metallic structure of nearly uniform pearlite and its tensile strength TS was about 1250N/mm<sup>2</sup> measured by tensile test according to JIS ( Japanese Industrial Standard) G3510.

The brass-plated steel wire material was drawn to produce steel wires having diameter of 0.28mm on four drawing conditions shown in Table 1 which are combinations of two kinds of pass schedule and whether bending operation after drawing is done or not. Table 2 shows detail of two pass schedules A and B, and Fig.1 shows the relationship between  $\epsilon$  and reduction per die of respective pass schedules. As Fig.1 shows, pass schedule A satisfies the limitation of the present invention and pass schedule B is a comparative example in which reduction per die at each die is set lower to decrease heat generation.

In the drawing, a slip-type multi-pass wet drawing machine was used with cemented carbide dies having approach angle of about 12 degrees and bearing length of about 0.5 D. The bending operation after drawing was done with tension of about 2kg by using an apparatus shown in Fig.4 in which number of rollers 2 was nine, diameter of rollers 2 was 16mm and engagement 3 was 6mm.

Table 1

	pass schedule	bending operation
Example 1	A	no
Example 2	A	yes
Comparative example 1	B	no
Comparative example 2	B	yes

Table 2

die No.	pass schedule A			Pass schedule B		
	hole diameter (mm)	$\epsilon$	reduction per die (%)	hole diameter (mm)	$\epsilon$	reduction per die (%)
1	1.630	0.048	4.7	1.630	0.048	4.7
2	1.550	0.149	9.6	1.570	0.123	7.2
3	1.420	0.324	16.1	1.470	0.255	12.3
4	1.265	0.556	20.6	1.350	0.425	15.7
5	1.120	0.799	21.6	1.230	0.612	17.0
6	0.990	1.046	21.9	1.120	0.799	17.1
7	0.875	1.293	21.9	1.020	0.986	17.1
8	0.770	1.548	22.6	0.930	1.171	16.9
9	0.680	1.797	22.0	0.850	1.351	16.5
10	0.600	2.047	22.1	0.770	1.548	17.9
11	0.530	2.295	22.0	0.700	1.739	17.4
12	0.475	2.515	19.7	0.640	1.918	16.4
13	0.425	2.737	19.9	0.580	2.115	17.9
14	0.385	2.935	17.9	0.530	2.295	16.5
15	0.350	3.125	17.4	0.485	2.473	16.3
16	0.320	3.305	16.4	0.445	2.645	15.8
17	0.295	3.467	15.0	0.410	2.809	15.1
18	0.280	3.572	9.9	0.380	2.961	14.1
19				0.350	3.125	15.2
20				0.325	3.274	13.8
21				0.305	3.401	11.9
22				0.290	3.501	9.6
23				0.283	3.550	4.8
24				0.280	3.572	2.1

For the steel wires produced by the respective conditions, tensile strength TS and repeated torsion value RT were measured according to the following conditions.

Tensile strength TS was measured by tensile test according to JIS G3510.

Repeated torsion value RT was measured by using an apparatus shown in Fig.5. In Fig.5, number 6 indicates a rotating chuck which holds one end of a steel wire 1 and is rotated around the axis of the steel wire 1 by a driving means 8 which is fixed on a base 12. Number 7 indicates a fixed chuck which holds the other end of the steel wire 1 so as not to rotate. The fixed chuck 7 is supported on the base 12 and is movable to the axial direction of the steel wire 1. A wire 9 carrying a weight 11 for giving tension to the steel wire 1 is connected to the fixed chuck 7 at the side opposite to the steel wire 1 through a pulley 10.

In the measurement of repeated torsion value RT, respective ends of the steel wire 1 were held by the rotating chuck 6 and the fixed chuck 8 and length of the steel wire 1 between the rotating chuck 6 and the fixed chuck 7 was adjusted so as to make the length of the steel wire to be twisted be 50mm. The weight 11 of about 1.5kg was used. The number of turns  $N_0$  equivalent to 3 turns per length of 100 times the diameter of the steel wire is 5.36 turns according to the formula  $N_0 = 3 \times (L/100D)$ . The rotating chuck 6 was driven by the driving means 8 so that the rotating chuck 6 made repetition of 5.36 clockwise turns and 5.36 counterclockwise turns to return to the original position, thereby giving the steel wire 1 repetition of twisting equivalent to 3 turns per length of 100 times the diameter of the steel wire. The rotating speed of the rotating chuck 6 was about 30 turns per minute.

Formation of a crack was detected by A.E. sensor 4 disposed under the steel wire 1 as shown in Fig.5. In order to detect A.E. wave effectively, grease 5 was put on the A.E. sensor 4 with the steel wire 1 piercing through it. The A.E. sensor used had a built-in preamplifier with gain of about 40dB and frequency range of 90 to 300kHz and was connected to a main amplifier with gain of 60 dB through a high-pass filter of 50kHz and a low-pass filter of 1000kHz, and the output of the main amplifier was displayed on a recorder. While the output of the main amplifier caused by noise was  $\pm$  several tens  $\mu$  V, output of  $\pm$  several hundreds  $\mu$  V was obtained when a crack was formed so that time of crack formation was clearly determined.

The results are listed below in Table 3.

Table 3

	tensile strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )	Repeated torsion value (turns/100D)
Example 1	3350	57
Example 2	3346	74
Comparative example 1	3332	15
Comparative example 2	3322	21

As shown in Table 3, steel wires of Example 1 and 2 had tensile strength equivalent to that of Comparative example 1 and 2, and had remarkably higher repeated torsion value compared with that of Comparative example 1 and 2. The steel wire of Example 2, to which a bending operation had been given, showed still higher repeated torsion value compared with that of Example 1. Relationship between tensile strength and repeated torsion value for each steel wire is shown in Fig.3 accompanied with results of Example 3 and Comparative example 3, 4 which will be explained later. As shown in Fig.3, steel wires of Example 1 and 2 satisfy limitation of repeated torsion value according to the invention while those of Comparative example 1 and 2 do not satisfy the limitation.

Further, in order to evaluate distribution of drawing strain in the steel wires, relationship between volume of removed surface layer and repeated torsion value was investigated with removing the surface layer by dissolution in nitric acid solution. The results are shown in Table 4. As shown in Table 4, repeated torsion values of steel wires of Example 1 and 2 with the surface layer not removed (ratio of removed surface layer = 0 vol.%) were not less than 60% of that with the surface layer equivalent to 10% by volume having been removed. Among the steel wires of Examples, the steel wire of Example 2, on which a bending operation after drawing was performed, showed especially high value. On the other hand, repeated torsion values of the steel wires of Comparative example 1 and 2 with the surface layer not removed (ratio of removed surface layer = 0 vol.%) were much lower than 60% of that with the surface layer equivalent to 10% by volume having been removed.

**Table 4**

ratio removed surface layer(%)	repeated torsion value (turns/100D)			
	Example 1	Example 2	Comparative example 1	Comparative example 2
0	57 (71)	74 (91)	15 (19)	21 (28)
1	61 (75)	75 (93)	20 (25)	22 (30)
5	75 (94)	78 (96)	59 (73)	59 (80)
10	80 (100)	81 (100)	75 (100)	74 (100)

\*Numbers in parentheses indicate relative value wherein value for ratio of removed surface layer = 10% is set 100 for each case.

Further, relationship between volume of removed surface layer and F.W.H.M. (Full Width Half Maximum) of X-ray diffraction peak for ferrite 211 at the surface emerged after removal of surface layer was investigated so as to make comparison of distribution of drawing strain in ferrite. The result is listed in Table 5. As shown in Table 5, F.W.H.M. for ferrite 211 of steel wires of Example 1 and 2 with the surface layer not removed (ratio of removed surface layer = 0 vol.%) were smaller than those of Comparative example 1 and 2, and difference against F.W.H.M. for ferrite 211 with the surface layer having been removed were smaller. Further, F.W.H.M. for ferrite 211 of the steel wire of Example 2, on which a bending operation after drawing was carried out, with the surface layer not removed (ratio of removed surface layer = 0 vol.%) was still smaller than that of Example 1, and difference against F.W.H.M. for ferrite 211 with the surface layer having been removed was still smaller, too. Therefore, it can be considered that distribution of drawing strain in ferrite was made more uniform with less concentration of drawing strain at the surface layer owing to a manufacturing method according to the present invention and further improved by bending operation.

Measurement of F.W.H.M. for ferrite 211 was done according to the condition shown in Table 6 by using a microfocus X-ray diffractometer equipped with P.S.P.C. (Position Sensitive Photo Counter) type X-ray detector. And the value of F.W.H.M. is F.W.H.M. of diffraction peak formed by  $K\alpha 1$  spectrum separated by calculation.



Table 5

ratio of removed surface layer(%)	F.W.H.M. of X-ray diffraction peak for ferrite 211 (degree)			
	Example 1	Example 2	Comparative example 1	Comparative example 2
0	1.03	0.94	1.29	1.24
1	1.00	0.91	1.26	1.24
5	0.90	0.89	0.98	0.99
10	0.88	0.88	0.91	0.92

Table 6

target	Cobalt
acceleration voltage	40kV
current	100mA
diameter of collimator	100 $\mu$ m
measurement time	2000 seconds

Further, in order to estimate ductility in use for reinforcement of rubber articles, breaking torsion value ( amount of twisting to one direction subjected to a steel wire until the steel wire is broken ) before and after heat aging for each steel wire was measured after forming into a wave shape having pitch of 4.5mm and amplitude of 0.46mm. This measurement was done by using an apparatus shown in Fig.5 according to the following condition and rotating the rotating chuck 6 to one direction until the steel wire was broken.

twisted length of steel wire : 50mm

axial tension : about 1.5kg

turning rate : about 30 turns per minute

In addition, breaking torsion value before and after heat aging for each steel wire without forming was measured by same way. These results are shown in Table 7. Heat aging was carried out by heating steel wires in a oven at 145°C for 40 minutes. As shown in Table 7, repeated torsion values for steel wires of Comparative example 1 and 2 without forming and heat aging were equivalent to that of Example 1 and 2, however, they were considerably reduced by either wave forming or heat aging or both, falling into less than 20 turns per 100D. On the other hand, repeated torsion values for steel wires of Example 1 and 2 were less reduced by either wave forming or heat aging or both, maintaining more than 20 turns per 100D.

Particularly, repeated torsion value for the steel wire of Example 2, on which bending operation after drawing was performed, was little reduced by either wave forming or heat aging or both.

Table 7

wave forming	heat aging	repeated torsion value (turns/100D)			
		Example 1	Example 2	Comparative example 1	Comparative example 2
no	no	33	34	31	34
	yes	30	34	11	15
yes	no	27	33	3	3
	yes	25	34	2	3

Further, steel cords having a construction of core formed by wavy filaments and a sheath shown in Table 8 were produced using each kind of steel wires for filaments of one steel cord, and they were embedded in rubber sheets and vulcanized at 145°C for 40 minutes. After that, the steel cords were taken out from rubber and decomposed into separate filaments and repeated torsion value for each filament was measured. As a result, repeated torsion values for steel wires of Example 1 and 2 were more than 20 turns per 100D while those of Comparative example 1 and 2 were less than 20 turns per 100D, showing a result similar to the case with wave forming and heat aging shown in Table 7.

Table 8

	number of filaments	Forming	
		Shape	minimum radius of curvature (mm)
core	1	wave with amplitude of 0.46mm and pitch of 4.5mm	about 4
sheath	6	spiral with amplitude of 0.92mm and pitch of 14mm	about 16

(Example 3, Comparative example 3 and 2)

A high carbon steel wire rod of about 5.5mm in diameter containing about 0.82% in weight of carbon was drawn by dry drawing until its diameter reached about 1.53mm. And then, patenting and brass-plating was done to obtain a brass-plated steel wire material. The brass-plated steel

wire material had metallic structure of nearly uniform pearlite and its tensile strength TS was about 1250N/mm<sup>2</sup>.

The brass-plated steel wire material was drawn to produce steel wires having diameter of 0.19mm on three drawing conditions shown in Table 9. Table 10 shows detail of three pass schedules C, D and E, and Fig.2 shows relationship between  $\epsilon$  and reduction per die of respective pass schedules. As Fig.2 shows, pass schedule C satisfies the limitation of the present invention. Pass schedule D is a Comparative example wherein reduction per die except for the final die satisfies the limitation of the present invention but excessively low at the final die. And pass schedule E is another Comparative example wherein reduction per die except for the final die satisfies the limitation of the present invention but excessively high at the final die.

In the drawing, a slip-type multi-pass wet drawing machine was used with cemented carbide dies having approach angle of about 9 degrees and bearing length of about 0.5 D. The bending operation after drawing was done with tension of about 2kg by using an apparatus shown in Fig.4 in which number of rollers 2 was twenty, diameter of rollers 2 was 12mm and engagement 3 was about 3mm.

Table 9

	pass schedule	bending operation
Example 3	C	yes
Comparative example 3	D	yes
Comparative example 4	E	yes

Table 10

die No.	pass schedule C			pass schedule D			pass schedule E		
	hole diameter (mm)	$\epsilon$	reduction per die (%)	hole diameter (mm)	$\epsilon$	reduction per die (%)	hole diameter (mm)	$\epsilon$	reduction per die (%)
1	1.480	0.066	6.4	1.480	0.066	6.4	1.480	0.066	6.4
2	1.390	0.192	11.8	1.390	0.192	11.8	1.390	0.192	11.8
3	1.280	0.357	15.2	1.280	0.357	15.2	1.280	0.357	15.2
4	1.155	0.562	18.6	1.155	0.562	18.6	1.155	0.562	18.6
5	1.020	0.811	22.0	1.020	0.811	22.0	1.020	0.811	22.0
6	0.900	1.061	22.1	0.900	1.061	22.1	0.900	1.061	22.1
7	0.790	1.322	23.0	0.790	1.322	23.0	0.790	1.322	23.0
8	0.700	1.564	21.5	0.700	1.564	21.5	0.700	1.564	21.5
9	0.615	1.823	22.8	0.615	1.823	22.8	0.615	1.823	22.8
10	0.545	2.064	21.5	0.545	2.064	21.5	0.545	2.064	21.5
11	0.483	2.306	21.5	0.483	2.306	21.5	0.483	2.306	21.5
12	0.430	2.538	20.7	0.430	2.538	20.7	0.430	2.538	20.7
13	0.387	2.749	19.0	0.387	2.749	19.0	0.387	2.749	19.0
14	0.350	2.950	18.2	0.350	2.950	18.2	0.350	2.950	18.2
15	0.315	3.161	19.0	0.315	3.161	19.0	0.315	3.161	19.0
16	0.285	3.361	18.1	0.285	3.361	18.1	0.285	3.361	18.1
17	0.260	3.545	16.8	0.260	3.545	16.8	0.263	3.522	14.8
18	0.241	3.696	14.1	0.240	3.705	14.8	0.243	3.680	14.6
19	0.224	3.843	13.6	0.223	3.852	13.7	0.226	3.825	13.5
20	0.208	3.991	13.8	0.207	4.001	13.8	0.212	3.953	12.0
21	0.195	4.120	12.1	0.193	4.141	13.1	0.198	4.090	12.8
22	0.190	4.172	5.1	0.190	4.172	3.0	0.190	4.172	7.9



